

EAST DUBUQUE, ILL.
REGISTER

Weekly - 838

Front Edit Other
Page Page Page

Date: JUN 25 1964

SPIKE'S
SPLUTTERING

CPYRGHT

In the last couple of issues, we told about the Goleniewski case as revealed by the Dan Smoot report, and how a waiter in our Warsaw embassy was recalled to this country and allowed to return to Europe without prosecution and how his mistress was allowed to do the same.

— SS —

Shortly after the Soviet Goleniewski defected and was brought to this country, he was taken to a room for his first secret conference with CIA agents. When he walked into the room he recognized an undercover Communist in the group of American investigators who were to question him. Scared, Goleniewski feigned illness and left, later reporting the Communist to a CIA agent he trusted. The undercover Communist he had seen right among the Central Intelligence agents disappeared and he never saw him again or learned his name.

— SS —

The Kennedy administration managed to conceal all information about Goleniewski and the "E.S." affair and somehow Guy Richards of the New York Journal managed to learn a little about it. In a series of articles published in March, 1964, Richards revealed to the public for the first time the broad outlines of the Goleniewski case. In a follow-up article Richards said: "The hope of keeping buried forever the story of the high level American turncoat and his American mistress who worked in the Soviet embassy, is one of the prime motives behind the desperate attempts of the State department and Central Intelligence agency to keep Goleniewski from testifying before the Senate committee.

— SS —

"Other reasons behind these attempts are fear of his disclosure of 19 Americans working for the Soviet Secret Police, 12 in the State department, four in the CIA itself, and three in U. S. Scientific laboratories."

— SS —

Richards did not identify the 19 Americans who were undercover spies in government jobs for the Communists, but in March 1964, an Estonian language newspaper published in New York named two of them. We cannot name them because so far there has been no corroborating evidence but one of the two had important ambassadorial posts under Kennedy and the other has been praised highly by President Johnson, implying that he might be named to an ambassador post. What kind of a government are we running anyway? More next week.